OMANARP INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES.

https://acadrespub.com/index.php/oijass

Vol. 3, Issue I, Pp. 52-65; Dec., 2025

DECOLONISATION AS A PATHWAY TO AFRICAN PHILOSOPHY

[1.]Etaoghene Paul POLO & [2.]Adedayo JENFA C.M.

1. Department of Philosophy, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria
etaoghenepaulpolo@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1606-0273
2. Department of Philosophy, University of Lagos, Nigeria
jeffadedayo@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received Date: 30th Nov., 2025
Date Revised Received: 1ST Dec., 2025
Accepted Date: 10th Dec., 2025
Published Date: 31st Dec., 2025

Citation: Polo, P. E. & Jenfa, A. C. M. (2025). Decolonisation as a Pathway to African Philosophy: OMANARP INTER. J. Arts and Social Sci. 3, Issue I, Pp. 52-65; Dec., 2025.

ABSTRACT

This paper examines decolonisation as a foundational pathway to the emergence and development of African philosophy. It argues that decolonisation should not be conceived merely as the achievement of political independence, but rather as a comprehensive and ongoing process involving economic, cultural, psychological, and epistemic liberation. By clarifying the concepts of colonisation and decolonisation, the study situates African decolonisation within its historical context and critically analyses its rationale, causes, effects, and persistent challenges. Special attention is given to neocolonialism and internal colonialism as significant impediments to genuine African self-determination. Drawing on the works of Frantz Fanon, Kwame Nkrumah, Walter Rodney, Kwasi Wiredu, Paulin Hountondji, Henry Odera Oruka, John Mbiti, and other prominent scholars, the paper demonstrates that colonial domination extended beyond political and economic control to the sphere of knowledge production and philosophical expression. It contends that African philosophy can only develop authentically within a decolonised intellectual framework that affirms African rationality, conceptual autonomy, and lived cultural experience, while remaining open to critical intercultural engagement. The paper concludes that decolonisation is not a completed historical event but a continuing philosophical imperative essential for Africa's intellectual freedom and meaningful participation in global philosophical discourse.

Keywords: Decolonisation, African Philosophy, Colonisation, Neocolonialism, Epistemic Liberation, Conceptual Decolonisation.

Part I

Conceptual Foundations: Colonisation, Epistemic Domination, and the Problem of African Philosophy

Introduction: Colonisation and the Question of Thought

Human history consistently reveals a persistent quest for domination, control, and mastery by individuals and groups over others. Across different epochs and civilisations, this impulse has manifested in diverse forms, including slavery, imperialism, conquest, and systemic subjugation. Among these, colonisation stands out as one of the most structured and far-reaching mechanisms of domination. Far from being limited to territorial acquisition or political administration, colonisation constituted an all-encompassing project aimed at the reorganisation of the social, economic, cultural, and intellectual life of subjected peoples (Fanon, 1963; Nkrumah, 1965).

Africa occupies a pivotal position in the history of modern colonisation. From the late nineteenth century, European imperial domination profoundly reshaped the continent's political boundaries, economic systems, cultural orientations, and modes of knowledge production. Indigenous social structures and epistemic traditions were systematically disrupted and supplanted by institutions designed primarily to advance European economic and ideological interests (Rodney, 2009). The legacies of this encounter have persisted well beyond the attainment of formal political independence, continuing to shape Africa's socio-economic realities and intellectual landscapes.

Despite its pervasiveness, colonisation was never an uncontested process. African societies resisted imperial domination through armed struggle, cultural preservation, intellectual critique, and political mobilisation. These resistance efforts eventually culminated in the political process commonly described as decolonisation. However, the persistence of structural dependency, cultural alienation, and epistemic marginalisation suggests that decolonisation, particularly at the intellectual level, remains incomplete (Nkrumah, 1965; Fanon, 1963).

This paper is concerned not merely with decolonisation as a political milestone but with its deeper philosophical implications. It argues that decolonisation constitutes a necessary pathway for the emergence and flourishing of African philosophy. The central claim advanced here is that African philosophy cannot fully develop within an intellectual environment that remains colonised, one that continues to privilege Eurocentric categories, assumptions, and standards of rationality while marginalising African experiences, histories, and conceptual resources (Wiredu, 1998; Hountondji, 1983).

The question of African philosophy itself has long been entangled with colonial discourse. Throughout much of the colonial period, African societies were portrayed as lacking philosophy, rationality, or the capacity for abstract thought. Such representations were not neutral scholarly errors but formed part of a broader ideological framework that served to legitimise domination and cultural subordination (Mbiti, 1969; Hountondji, 1983). Consequently, addressing the problem of African philosophy necessarily involves confronting the enduring epistemic legacy of colonisation.

This opening section lays the conceptual groundwork for the paper by examining the meanings and dynamics of colonisation and decolonisation, with particular emphasis on colonisation as an epistemic project. It highlights the implications of epistemic domination for African philosophical thought. Subsequent sections build on this foundation by analysing Africa's decolonisation experience, the challenges it continues to face, and the specific ways in which decolonisation enables the authentic development of African philosophy.

Colonisation: Beyond Territorial Conquest

Colonisation is frequently understood in narrow political or geographical terms, referring to the occupation and administration of foreign territories by an imperial power. While this perspective captures an important dimension of the phenomenon, it remains inadequate. Colonisation

is more accurately conceived as a complex, multidimensional process encompassing political domination, economic exploitation, cultural imposition, and epistemic control.

Etymologically, the term *colonisation* is derived from the Latin *colere*, meaning “to inhabit,” “to cultivate,” or “to settle.” Historically, it denotes the establishment of settlements by a dominant group within a foreign territory, accompanied by the subjugation of indigenous populations (Betts, 2004). In the African context, European colonisation extended beyond settlement to include the systematic reorganisation of African societies in ways that served imperial political and economic interests.

Politically, colonisation involved the dismantling, marginalisation, or subordination of indigenous systems of governance and their replacement with colonial administrative structures. Traditional authorities were either co-opted to serve colonial objectives or stripped of meaningful power, while decision-making authority was concentrated in the hands of colonial officials. Economically, colonisation restructured African economies to function as dependent appendages of European capitalism, oriented primarily toward the extraction of raw materials and the consumption of European manufactured goods (Rodney, 2009).

Culturally, colonisation entailed the imposition of European languages, values, and social norms, often through missionary activity and colonial education systems. Indigenous cultures were routinely portrayed as backward, primitive, or inferior, while European culture was presented as the benchmark of civilisation and progress. This cultural hierarchy functioned to legitimise colonial rule and undermine African self-confidence and identity.

Perhaps the most profound and enduring dimension of colonisation, however, lies in its epistemic impact. Colonisation did not seek merely to control territory and labour; it also aimed to shape how colonised peoples understood themselves, their histories, and their world. This epistemic dimension of colonisation is of particular significance for philosophy.

Colonisation as an Epistemic Project

Colonisation functioned as an epistemic project insofar as it imposed specific ways of knowing, interpreting reality, and producing knowledge. European colonialism was accompanied by the assumption that Western modes of thought were universal, rational, and superior, whereas non-Western systems of knowledge were viewed as particular, irrational, or primitive.

In the African context, this assumption resulted in the widespread denial or marginalisation of African intellectual traditions. African worldviews, cosmologies, moral systems, and modes of reasoning were frequently dismissed as mythological, superstitious, or pre-logical. Such representations were articulated and reinforced by several European thinkers and ethnologists during the colonial period.

For example, W. W. Baker portrayed African peoples as occupying a lower position on the evolutionary scale of human development (Baker, 1867). Similarly, Lucien Lévy-Bruhl argued that so-called “primitive” peoples, including Africans, operated according to a “pre-logical mentality” fundamentally different from the rationality attributed to Western societies. Although Lévy-Bruhl later revised aspects of his thesis, his ideas exerted considerable influence and contributed significantly to the intellectual marginalisation of African thought.

These representations were not merely descriptive but also normative and justificatory. By denying Africans full rational capacity, colonial discourse legitimised political domination and cultural assimilation. If Africans were presumed incapable of abstract reasoning or philosophical reflection, colonial rule could be portrayed as a civilising mission rather than as an exploitative enterprise.

The epistemic violence of colonisation thus resided in its power to determine what counts as knowledge, who qualifies as a knower, and which intellectual traditions merit recognition. African knowledge systems were rendered invisible or illegitimate within dominant global discourses, while European philosophy was universalised and treated as the sole standard of rational thought.

Implications of Epistemic Domination for African Philosophy

The epistemic dimension of colonisation has far-reaching implications for African philosophy. Philosophy, broadly understood, involves critical reflection on fundamental questions concerning reality, knowledge, value, and existence. To deny a people philosophy is therefore to deny them full participation in humanity's shared quest for meaning and understanding.

During the colonial period, Africa was frequently characterised as "ahistorical" and "aphilosophical." These claims were internalised to varying degrees by both colonisers and the colonised. Colonial education systems trained African students to revere European philosophy while disregarding or devaluing indigenous intellectual resources. Consequently, early African intellectuals faced a dual challenge: demonstrating that Africans were capable of philosophy and articulating what African philosophy itself might entail.

This context gave rise to sustained debates within African philosophy in the postcolonial era. One approach sought to recover African philosophy by documenting communal worldviews, myths, proverbs, and traditional beliefs a project often described as ethnophilosophy. While this approach affirmed the existence of African intellectual traditions, it was criticised for lacking critical rigour and for portraying African philosophy as collective, static, and uncritical.

In response, another strand of thought, represented by philosophers such as Paulin Hountondji, rejected ethnophilosophy and argued that African philosophy must be critical, reflective, and professional, comparable to philosophy practiced elsewhere (Hountondji, 1983). Although this position contributed significantly to the discipline's development, it also risks reproducing Eurocentric standards if Western philosophical categories are uncritically assumed to be universally normative.

The tension between these approaches underscores the enduring influence of colonisation on African philosophy. The very criteria for defining philosophy remain shaped by colonial legacies. It is for this reason that ecolonisation is not merely relevant but indispensable to the authentic development and flourishing of African philosophy.

Decolonisation: From Political Independence to Epistemic Liberation

Decolonisation is commonly understood as the historical process through which colonised territories attained political independence. In this conventional sense, it denotes the dismantling of colonial administrations and the emergence of sovereign nation-states. Africa's wave of political independence in the mid-twentieth century represents a major milestone in this regard and marked the formal end of European imperial rule across much of the continent (Birmingham, 1995).

Yet political independence does not necessarily amount to genuine liberation. As numerous scholars have observed, colonial domination often survives the end of direct political rule in both subtle and overt forms. Economic dependency, cultural alienation, and intellectual subordination continue to characterise many postcolonial societies, indicating that the structures of colonial power were transformed rather than eliminated (Rothermund, 2006).

Decolonisation must therefore be understood in a broader and more critical sense. Beyond political sovereignty, it involves the interrogation and transformation of inherited colonial structures, including modes of knowledge production and standards of rationality. As Helene von Bismarck (2012) argues, decolonisation is not a singular historical event but an ongoing process that unfolds across political, economic, cultural, and epistemic domains.

Within the African context, decolonisation entails reclaiming the authority to define problems, concepts, and priorities from within African historical and cultural experiences. It requires challenging the assumption that European philosophy provides the universal framework within which African thought must be articulated. Decolonisation thus seeks to restore African agency in the production of knowledge and meaning.

This position does not imply the wholesale rejection of Western philosophy. Rather, it calls for critical engagement that recognises Western philosophy as one intellectual tradition among others, rather than as the sole arbiter of rationality. Such an approach resonates with

Kwasi Wiredu's notion of conceptual decolonisation, which involves examining the extent to which African philosophical discourse remains dependent on foreign conceptual frameworks and linguistic categories (Wiredu, 1996).

The Necessity of Decolonisation for African Philosophy

If African philosophy is to transcend mere imitation of European philosophical traditions, it must be firmly grounded in African realities and lived experiences. Such grounding presupposes epistemic freedom the freedom to think, question, and conceptualise without being constrained by externally imposed categories and assumptions.

Decolonisation creates the conditions necessary for this freedom. By uncovering the historical and ideological foundations of Eurocentric dominance, it enables African philosophers to critically reassess inherited intellectual frameworks and to explore alternative modes of thought. In doing so, it opens space for philosophical reflection rooted in African languages, cultural practices, and social institutions.

Furthermore, decolonisation addresses the psychological dimensions of colonial domination. As Frantz Fanon famously observed, colonialism often produces a condition in which the colonised internalise feelings of inferiority and come to view themselves through the lens of the coloniser (Fanon, 1963). Overcoming this psychological legacy is essential for intellectual self-confidence, creativity, and philosophical originality.

African philosophy is therefore inseparable from the broader struggle for decolonisation. It is both shaped by this struggle and capable of contributing to it. Philosophy can help articulate the meanings of freedom, identity, and agency in postcolonial Africa, but only insofar as it is itself liberated from colonial epistemic constraints.

Conclusion to Part I

This first part of the paper has established the conceptual foundations for understanding decolonisation as a pathway to African philosophy. By analysing colonisation as a multidimensional process, particularly as an epistemic project, it has demonstrated how colonial domination undermined African intellectual traditions and distorted perceptions of African rationality.

The discussion has also shown that decolonisation cannot be reduced to the attainment of political independence. Rather, it must be understood as an ongoing process of epistemic liberation that challenges inherited assumptions and reclaims African agency in the production of knowledge. Without such decolonisation, African philosophy risks remaining constrained by the very structures it seeks to critique.

The next part of the paper builds upon this foundation by examining Africa's historical experience of decolonisation in greater detail. It focuses on the rationale, causes, and early consequences of political decolonisation and assesses their implications for Africa's philosophical project.

Part II
Africa's Decolonisation Experience: Rationale, Causes, and Early Consequences

Situating African Decolonisation in Historical Context

Africa's experience of decolonisation must be situated within the broader history of European imperial expansion and its eventual decline. By the end of the nineteenth century, the so-called "Scramble for Africa" had resulted in the partition of nearly the entire continent among European powers. Colonial rule was consolidated through administrative control, economic restructuring, and cultural domination. African societies were reorganised to meet the demands of imperial economies, while indigenous political systems were either subordinated or dismantled.

The mid-twentieth century marked a decisive turning point. Between the late 1940s and the 1970s, most African territories achieved formal political independence. This process, commonly described as Africa's decolonisation, was neither uniform nor linear. Different regions experienced decolonisation at varying paces, through distinct strategies, and with differing levels of

violence and negotiation (Birmingham, 1995; Darwin, 2006).

It is important to recognise that African decolonisation did not arise spontaneously. Rather, it was the outcome of sustained struggles by African peoples combined with global political developments that weakened imperial authority. Understanding these dynamics is essential for appreciating the philosophical significance of decolonisation, particularly the extent to which political independence transformed or failed to transform the deeper structures of domination.

The Rationale for the Decolonisation of Africa

The rationale for decolonisation in Africa was fundamentally rooted in the quest for freedom, autonomy, and human dignity. Colonial rule systematically excluded Africans from political participation, denied them economic self-determination, and withheld cultural recognition. Africans were governed without consent, taxed without representation, and subjected to legal systems that privileged European settlers.

Beyond political exclusion, colonialism imposed profound economic injustices. African economies were reorganised to supply raw materials to European industries and to serve as markets for European manufactured goods. This structure entrenched dependency and underdevelopment, leaving African societies impoverished and economically vulnerable (Rodney, 2009). Decolonisation therefore represented a collective aspiration to reclaim control over economic resources and development paths.

Culturally and intellectually, colonialism undermined African self-understanding. Indigenous belief systems, moral values, and intellectual traditions were frequently portrayed as impediments to progress. Colonial education systems trained Africans to admire European civilisation while devaluing their own cultural heritage. The demand for decolonisation thus extended beyond political freedom to include the restoration of cultural confidence and intellectual self-respect.

From a philosophical perspective, the struggle for decolonisation can be understood as a demand for recognition, recognition of Africans as rational agents capable of self-governance and intellectual creativity. This demand directly challenged colonial ideologies that denied Africans full humanity and rationality.

Causes of Decolonisation: Internal and External Dynamics

Africa's decolonisation was driven by a complex interplay of internal and external forces. Internally, resistance to colonial rule intensified during the early twentieth century. This resistance took diverse forms, including armed uprisings, labour movements, cultural revivalism, and political organisation. African nationalists articulated visions of self-rule that drew upon both indigenous traditions and modern political ideas.

Educated African elites played a particularly significant role in mobilising resistance. Many had been exposed to Western education and political thought, which they employed to critique colonial hypocrisy and to demand the application of proclaimed European values, such as liberty and equality, to colonial subjects. This strategic appropriation of Western ideas highlights the ambivalent character of decolonisation as both a rejection and a reworking of colonial legacies.

Externally, global developments following the Second World War accelerated the pace of decolonisation. European powers emerged from the war economically weakened and politically constrained, making the maintenance of overseas empires increasingly untenable. At the same time, international opinion shifted in favour of self-determination, partly due to the moral contradictions exposed by a war ostensibly fought for freedom and democracy (Darwin, 2006).

The Atlantic Charter of 1941, issued jointly by Britain and the United States, affirmed the principle that all peoples have the right to choose their form of government. Although initially not intended to apply to colonial territories, the Charter provided moral and political leverage for anti-colonial movements (White, 2014). The creation of the United Nations further institutionalised the discourse of self-determination and offered a platform for articulating colonial grievances.

Decolonisation in Africa, therefore, cannot be attributed solely to colonial benevolence or African resistance. It emerged from the convergence of African agency and global political transformations.

The Process of Political Decolonisation in Africa

The paths through which African territories achieved independence varied considerably. In some cases, decolonisation occurred through relatively peaceful negotiations, as exemplified by Ghana's transition to independence in 1957. In others, it involved prolonged and violent struggles, such as the Algerian war of independence against French rule.

Despite these variations, certain common features characterised political decolonisation across the continent. Colonial administrations gradually transferred power to African elites through constitutional reforms, elections, and negotiated settlements. New national flags were raised, constitutions adopted, and African leaders assumed political office, symbolising the formal end of colonial rule.

However, political decolonisation was often constrained by compromises that preserved colonial economic and administrative interests. Many postcolonial states inherited colonial economic structures, legal systems, and bureaucratic frameworks with minimal transformation. As a result, political independence did not always translate into substantive autonomy.

This limited nature of political decolonisation raises important philosophical questions concerning the meaning of freedom, sovereignty, and self-determination. If independence leaves intact the structures that sustain dependency and domination, it becomes necessary to ask whether such independence constitutes genuine liberation.

Early Consequences of Decolonisation: Promise and Disillusionment

The immediate aftermath of political independence in Africa was characterised by widespread optimism and high expectations. Independence was widely perceived as the dawn of a new era marked by dignity, unity, and self-realisation. Public celebrations and independence ceremonies symbolised the recovery of political agency and the hope for economic progress and social renewal.

This optimism, however, was soon tempered by difficult realities. Many newly independent African states encountered persistent political instability, economic stagnation, and social fragmentation. The artificial boundaries imposed during colonial rule often forced diverse ethnic, linguistic, and cultural communities into single political entities, generating tensions that, in some cases, escalated into violent conflict (Gordon & Gordon, 1996).

Economically, independence did not bring structural transformation. African economies remained largely dependent on former colonial powers and global markets. Export-oriented economic structures inherited from colonialism proved ill-suited for sustainable development. Continued reliance on primary commodity exports, coupled with unfavourable terms of trade and price volatility, reinforced vulnerability and underdevelopment.

From a philosophical perspective, these early post-independence challenges reveal the limitations of understanding decolonisation solely in political terms. The persistence of dependency suggests that deeper forms of domination, economic, cultural, and epistemic were largely left intact. This reality strengthens the argument that decolonisation must extend beyond political sovereignty to address the foundational structures shaping African societies.

Decolonisation and the Problem of Neocolonialism

One of the most significant obstacles to meaningful decolonisation in Africa is neocolonialism. Neocolonialism refers to the continuation of colonial domination through indirect mechanisms after the end of formal political rule. Frantz Fanon's analysis of postcolonial societies remains particularly illuminating in this regard.

Fanon argues that neocolonialism operates through economic dependency, political manipulation, and cultural domination (Fanon, 1963). Former colonial powers, along with multinational corporations and international institutions, continue to exert significant influence over African economies. Development priorities,

policy choices, and governance frameworks are often shaped by external interests, constraining genuine autonomy.

Neocolonialism also functions at the cultural and epistemic levels. Western models of development, governance, and rationality are frequently presented as universal standards, marginalising alternative ways of understanding social progress. African societies are encouraged to evaluate themselves according to externally defined criteria, reinforcing intellectual dependency.

This condition has serious implications for African philosophy. When the frameworks within which philosophical questions are formulated remain externally imposed, philosophy risks becoming imitative rather than creative. Epistemic dependence undermines the capacity for original reflection rooted in African historical and cultural experiences.

Internal Dimensions of Postcolonial Domination

Decolonisation in Africa is further complicated by internal forms of domination. In some postcolonial states, colonial patterns of authority and exploitation have been reproduced by indigenous elites. Political leaders may adopt authoritarian practices, misuse state resources, and marginalise large segments of the population.

This phenomenon raises complex questions of responsibility and agency. While colonialism created conditions of dependency and distortion, it does not fully account for the persistence of injustice, corruption, and misrule in postcolonial Africa. The internalisation of colonial logic, where domination becomes normalised and reproduced from within, poses a major challenge to genuine decolonisation.

Philosophically, this internal dimension underscores the importance of ethical and political reflection. Decolonisation must involve not only resistance to external domination but also the cultivation of just, accountable, and participatory forms of governance. Without internal transformation, political independence remains hollow.

Philosophical Implications of Africa's Decolonisation Experience

Africa's decolonisation experience reveals a persistent tension between formal independence and substantive autonomy. This tension has direct implications for African philosophy. If philosophy is fundamentally concerned with critical reflection on human experience, then the realities of postcolonial Africa must serve as a central point of departure.

The failures and frustrations of postcolonial governance invite renewed reflection on key philosophical concepts such as freedom, power, responsibility, identity, and agency. They challenge simplistic narratives of liberation and compel African philosophers to interrogate the conditions under which genuine self-determination is possible.

Furthermore, the persistence of epistemic dependency indicates that philosophical decolonisation remains unfinished. African philosophy must critically examine the extent to which its concepts, methods, and questions continue to be shaped by colonial legacies. This task does not require the rejection of global philosophical traditions but calls for critical engagement that situates African philosophy within its own historical and cultural contexts while remaining open to dialogue.

Conclusion to Part II

This part of the paper has examined Africa's decolonisation experience by analysing its rationale, causes, and early consequences. It has shown that although political independence represented a significant historical achievement, it did not automatically dismantle the deeper structures of domination inherited from colonial rule.

The persistence of economic dependency, neocolonial influence, and internalised forms of domination highlights the limitations of political decolonisation. These realities underscore the need for a more comprehensive understanding of decolonisation, one that encompasses economic, cultural, and epistemic transformation.

For African philosophy, this analysis reinforces the central claim of the paper: decolonisation is a necessary pathway to philosophical autonomy. Without confronting colonial legacies at the level of thought and knowledge, African philosophy risks remaining constrained by the very forces it seeks to overcome. The next part of the paper therefore turns to a more detailed examination of neocolonialism, internal colonialism, and the continuing crisis of African self-determination, with particular attention to their implications for philosophical practice.

Part III

Neocolonialism, Internal Colonialism, and the Continuing Crisis of African Self-Determination

Understanding Neocolonialism in the African Context

The concept of neocolonialism emerged as a critical response to the limitations of political independence in postcolonial Africa. Although African states formally attained sovereignty, the structures sustaining colonial domination were often preserved under new forms. Neocolonialism thus refers to the continuation of colonial influence through indirect economic, political, and cultural mechanisms rather than direct administrative control.

Kwame Nkrumah provided one of the earliest and most systematic analyses of neocolonialism, describing it as the “last stage of imperialism” (Nkrumah, 1965). He argued that former colonial powers and global capitalist interests continued to shape African economies by controlling trade, investment, and development priorities. Political independence, while symbolically important, did not dismantle the unequal relationships established during colonial rule.

Neocolonialism manifests most clearly in economic dependency. Many African economies remain reliant on the export of raw materials and the import of manufactured goods, reproducing the colonial division of labour. Decisions concerning production, pricing, and investment are often influenced by external actors, including multinational corporations and international financial institutions.

Philosophically, neocolonialism raises fundamental questions about freedom and agency. If African states lack meaningful control over their economic and political choices, the concept of sovereignty itself becomes problematic, revealing the fragility and incompleteness of decolonisation.

Fanon and the Critique of Postcolonial Power

Frantz Fanon’s analysis of postcolonial societies offers a powerful critique of neocolonialism and its psychological and political effects. In *The Wretched of the Earth*, Fanon argues that the transfer of power at independence often places authority in the hands of a national bourgeoisie that remains structurally dependent on former colonial powers (Fanon, 1963).

This elite, lacking an independent economic base, functions as an intermediary between foreign capital and the local population. Rather than transforming colonial structures, it frequently reproduces them for personal gain, resulting in a new form of domination that is internalised and normalised.

Fanon also emphasises the psychological legacy of colonialism. Unless actively confronted, the inferiority complexes and alienation produced by colonial rule persist after independence, shaping political behaviour and cultural values. The continued valorisation of European models of governance, education, and culture reflects this unresolved colonial condition.

For African philosophy, Fanon’s work underscores the inseparability of political, economic, and epistemic liberation. Philosophical reflection must address both external structures of domination and internalised assumptions that constrain African self-understanding.

Economic Dependency and the Limits of Development

Economic dependency remains one of the most enduring legacies of colonialism in Africa. Walter Rodney’s analysis in *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa* demonstrates how colonial exploitation systematically undermined African economic systems and integrated the

continent into the global economy on unequal terms (Rodney, 2009).

Postcolonial development strategies often failed to overcome these structural constraints. Industrialisation efforts depended heavily on foreign capital, technology, and expertise, while loans and aid were accompanied by conditions that limited policy autonomy. Debt crises further exposed the vulnerability of African economies to external pressures.

Philosophically, these realities challenge dominant notions of progress and modernisation. Western development models, presented as universally applicable, often neglect historical and cultural specificity. African philosophy is therefore called upon to critically interrogate development discourse and to articulate alternative visions grounded in social justice, communal values, and ecological sustainability.

Cultural Neocolonialism and Epistemic Domination

Neocolonialism extends into the cultural and epistemic domains. Western languages, media, educational systems, and intellectual frameworks dominate global knowledge production. African cultures are often represented as static or inferior, while Western cultures are associated with modernity and progress.

Educational systems in postcolonial Africa frequently privilege Western curricula and epistemologies. Indigenous knowledge systems are marginalised or treated as objects of anthropological interest rather than as sources of philosophical insight. This epistemic hierarchy reinforces the perception that legitimate knowledge originates outside Africa.

Paulin Hountondji describes this condition as “extraversion,” in which African intellectual activity is oriented toward external audiences and validation (Hountondji, 1983). Under such conditions, African philosophy risks remaining dependent on Western conceptual frameworks and evaluative standards.

Epistemic domination thus limits intellectual autonomy. Decolonisation must therefore involve the critical reconstruction of epistemic frameworks and the affirmation of African philosophical agency.

Internal Colonialism and the Reproduction of Domination

While neocolonialism highlights external domination, the concept of internal colonialism draws attention to inequalities within postcolonial African states. Certain groups or regions may be systematically marginalised and exploited by dominant elites within the same society.

Colonial administrative practices often intensified ethnic and regional divisions by privileging particular groups. These divisions were inherited by postcolonial states and, in some cases, deepened. Political power and economic resources became concentrated in the hands of narrow elites, leaving large segments of the population excluded.

This internal dimension complicates narratives that portray Africa solely as a victim of external forces. It underscores the need for ethical self-critique and accountability. Decolonisation cannot be achieved merely by resisting external domination; it also requires confronting injustice within African societies.

For African philosophy, internal colonialism raises urgent ethical questions about power, responsibility, and solidarity. Philosophical reflection must address the moral failures of postcolonial leadership and the social conditions that enable exploitation.

Language, Power, and Philosophical Expression

Language occupies a pivotal position in debates on decolonisation and African philosophy. Colonial languages such as English, French, and Portuguese continue to dominate education, governance, and intellectual production across Africa. While these languages facilitate international communication and scholarly exchange, their dominance also raises serious concerns regarding cultural authenticity and philosophical self-expression.

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong’o argues that language is not merely a neutral medium of communication but a carrier of culture and worldview. According to him, the sustained dominance of colonial languages entrenches cultural

alienation by distancing African intellectual life from indigenous experiences and values (Ngũgĩ, 1986). When African philosophy is articulated primarily in European languages, it risks becoming detached from the conceptual resources and lived realities of African communities.

KwasiWiredu offers a more nuanced position by advocating the use of indigenous African languages in philosophical analysis while acknowledging the practical realities of multilingual societies (Wiredu, 1996). For Wiredu, conceptual decolonisation requires close attention to how philosophical problems are framed and how key concepts are translated across linguistic contexts. The challenge is not simply linguistic substitution but critical reflection on meaning, interpretation, and conceptual adequacy.

This debate underscores the epistemic dimensions of decolonisation. Since language shapes thought, philosophical decolonisation necessarily involves sustained reflection on the linguistic medium of philosophical expression.

African Philosophy and the Challenge of Intellectual Autonomy

The combined forces of neocolonialism, internal colonialism, and epistemic domination continue to pose significant obstacles to African philosophy. Intellectual autonomy entails more than the physical presence of African philosophers; it requires the capacity to set intellectual agendas, define philosophical problems, and generate concepts grounded in African social and historical realities.

Henry Odera Oruka's notion of *philosophic sagacity* represents an important attempt to address this challenge. By identifying and analysing the reflective thought of indigenous sages, Oruka sought to demonstrate that rigorous philosophical reasoning exists outside Western academic institutions (Oruka, 1990). His work affirms the philosophical agency of African thinkers traditionally excluded from formal scholarship.

Nevertheless, African philosophy remains a contested field. Persistent debates concerning its sources, methods, and aims reflect the ongoing struggle for epistemic self-determination. These debates themselves testify to the unfinished nature of the decolonial project. Decolonisation, therefore, must be understood not as a completed historical achievement but as an enduring intellectual responsibility. African philosophy must continuously negotiate its relationship with global philosophical traditions while asserting its own distinctive voice.

Towards a Deeper Conception of Decolonisation

The foregoing analysis suggests that decolonisation must be conceived as a multidimensional process. Political independence, though necessary, is insufficient on its own. Economic restructuring, cultural affirmation, and epistemic liberation are equally indispensable to genuine emancipation.

This broader understanding of decolonisation challenges African philosophers to engage critically with both colonial legacies and postcolonial realities. It demands philosophical inquiry that is historically informed, socially responsive, and ethically grounded. Decolonisation, as a pathway to African philosophy, thus involves rethinking the conditions under which philosophical knowledge is produced, validated, and disseminated.

Such a philosophy must address African experiences while contributing meaningfully to universal human concerns.

Conclusion to Part III

This section has examined the persistent challenges confronting Africa in the post-independence era, with particular emphasis on neocolonialism, internal colonialism, and epistemic domination. Drawing on the works of Fanon, Rodney, Nkrumah, Hountondji, Wiredu, and others, it has demonstrated that decolonisation remains an incomplete and ongoing process.

These challenges have profound implications for African philosophy, revealing the constraints under which philosophical reflection occurs and underscoring the necessity of sustained decolonial engagement at the level of thought and knowledge. The next section turns

explicitly to decolonisation and African philosophy, offering a systematic account of how philosophical practice can advance epistemic liberation and genuine self-determination.

Part IV

Decolonisation and the Task of African Philosophy

African Philosophy and the Question of Method

One of the most enduring debates in African philosophy concerns its methodological foundations and legitimacy. Since the mid-twentieth century, African philosophers have been compelled to justify not only their conclusions but the very existence of African philosophy itself. This predicament is inseparable from the colonial history that denied Africans rationality, historical consciousness, and philosophical capacity.

Colonial ethnophilosophical accounts often portrayed African thought as collective, uncritical, and pre-rational. Thinkers such as Lucien Lévy-Bruhl argued that African cognition operated according to a "pre-logical mentality," a claim that helped legitimise colonial domination. These assumptions shaped early academic representations of Africa and continue to influence global philosophical discourse.

Decolonisation, in this context, demands a methodological reorientation. African philosophy must reject both the denial of African rationality and the uncritical adoption of Western philosophical methods. Instead, it must develop approaches that combine philosophical rigour with sensitivity to African historical and cultural realities.

Conceptual Decolonisation and Philosophical Autonomy

Kwasi Wiredu's notion of *conceptual decolonisation* offers a powerful framework for understanding African philosophy as an emancipatory intellectual project. Wiredu contends that colonialism imposed not only political domination but also conceptual frameworks that continue to shape African thought in subtle and enduring ways (Wiredu, 1996). These inherited frameworks often distort African experiences by forcing them into alien conceptual categories.

Conceptual decolonisation involves critically examining philosophical concepts to determine whether they adequately reflect African realities. Concepts such as personhood, morality, community, and authority may operate differently in African contexts than in Western liberal traditions. Philosophical autonomy requires the freedom to rethink such concepts without epistemic subordination.

Importantly, Wiredu does not advocate intellectual isolation. Rather, he supports intercultural dialogue grounded in conceptual clarity and mutual respect. African philosophy, in this view, enriches global philosophy by contributing alternative conceptual resources shaped by African experiences.

Hountondji and the Critique of Ethnophilosophy

Paulin Hountondji's critique of ethnophilosophy further sharpens the decolonial task of African philosophy. In *African Philosophy: Myth and Reality*, Hountondji argues that many early representations of African philosophy reduced it to collective worldviews rather than critical reflection (Hountondji, 1983). Such approaches, he maintains, deny Africans individual philosophical agency.

For Hountondji, African philosophy must be understood as a professional, critical, and argumentative discipline produced by African thinkers engaged in rigorous philosophical inquiry. While cultural traditions may inspire philosophical reflection, they should not be conflated with philosophy itself.

This critique aligns with decolonisation by resisting the exoticisation of African thought. By insisting on critical reasoning, Hountondji challenges both colonial stereotypes and romanticised portrayals of African culture. Decolonisation, in this sense, requires intellectual discipline as much as cultural affirmation.

Oruka and the Recovery of Indigenous Rationality

Henry Odera Oruka's project of philosophic sagacity represents another significant pathway to decolonising

African philosophy. Oruka sought to identify and analyse the reflective thought of indigenous thinkers who critically engage with fundamental philosophical questions (Oruka, 1990).

By documenting the reflections of such sages, Oruka challenged the assumption that philosophy requires literacy or formal academic training. His work demonstrates that rigorous philosophical reasoning exists within African oral traditions, thereby refuting colonial claims of African intellectual inferiority.

At the same time, Oruka distinguished between uncritical communal beliefs and reflective individual thought, thereby preserving philosophical rigour while affirming African intellectual agency.

Mbiti, Culture, and African Worldviews

John Mbiti's contributions emphasise the philosophical significance of African culture, religion, and worldview. In *African Religions and Philosophy*, Mbiti argues that African conceptions of time, community, and personhood differ markedly from Western individualism (Mbiti, 1969).

Although Mbiti's work has been criticised for tendencies toward generalisation, it remains influential in highlighting African worldviews as legitimate sources of philosophical insight. From this perspective, decolonisation requires taking African cultural perspectives seriously without lapsing into essentialism or uncritical traditionalism.

Language, Translation, and Philosophical Meaning

Language remains central to philosophical meaning and expression. Decolonisation requires careful attention to translation, as many African concepts resist direct equivalence in European languages. Translation, while necessary for intercultural dialogue, can obscure important philosophical nuances.

Wiredu's advocacy for philosophical work in indigenous languages reflects an effort to preserve conceptual integrity. Decolonised African philosophy must therefore operate in multilingual contexts, addressing both local and global audiences. This linguistic plurality should be seen not as a limitation but as a philosophical resource.

Decolonisation as Philosophical Praxis

Decolonisation is not merely a theoretical framework but a practical philosophical orientation. African philosophy informed by decolonial consciousness must engage concrete social, political, and ethical challenges such as governance, inequality, gender justice, and environmental sustainability.

Laenui Poka's stages of decolonisation, recovery, mourning, dreaming, commitment, and action, provide a useful framework for understanding this praxis (Laenui, 2000). African philosophy participates in each stage by recovering suppressed knowledge, reflecting on historical injustices, envisioning alternative futures, and guiding ethical action.

African Philosophy in Global Context

Decolonised African philosophy does not seek intellectual isolation. Rather, it aims to participate in global philosophical discourse as an equal partner. By articulating perspectives shaped by African histories and realities, African philosophy enriches universal understanding.

Its global value lies not in imitation but in its capacity to offer alternative ways of thinking about humanity, community, and meaning. Decolonisation, therefore, benefits both Africa and global philosophy.

Conclusion

This study has examined decolonisation as a pathway to African philosophy by analysing the historical, political, economic, cultural, and epistemic dimensions of Africa's colonial and postcolonial experience. It has argued that decolonisation must be understood as a comprehensive and ongoing process rather than a single historical event.

By clarifying the concepts of colonisation and decolonisation, reviewing African decolonisation, examining neocolonial and internal domination, and engaging key African philosophers, the paper has demonstrated that African philosophy emerges most authentically through decolonial consciousness.

Grounded in conceptual clarity, cultural awareness, and critical rigour, African philosophy affirms African intellectual agency and contributes meaningfully to global philosophical discourse. Decolonisation, therefore, is not merely a political necessity but a philosophical imperative.

References

Baker, W. W. (1867). The Races of the Nile Valley.*Transactions of the Ethnological Society of London*, New Series, Vol. V.

Betts, R. F. (2004). *Decolonization* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.

Birmingham, D. (1995). *The Decolonization of Africa*. London: Routledge.

Darwin, J. (2006). *The End of the British Empire: The Historical Debate*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

Fanon, F. (1963).*The Wretched of the Earth*, trans. Constance Farrington, Penguin.

Gordon, A. A., & Gordon, D. L. (1996).*Understanding Contemporary Africa*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner.

Hountondji, P. J. (1983). *African Philosophy: Myth and Reality*. Indiana University Press.

Laenui, P. [Burgess, Hayden F.]. (2000), "Processes of Decolonization," in Marie Battiste (ed.), *Reclaiming Indigenous Voice and Vision*, UBC Press.

Lévy-Bruhl, L. (1985). *How Natives Think*, trans. Lilian A. Clare, Princeton University Press.

Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African Religions and Philosophy*, Heinemann.

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. (1986). *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. James Currey.

Nkrumah, K. (1965). *Neo-Colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism*. Thomas Nelson & Sons, 1965.

Oruka, H. O. (1990). *Sage Philosophy: Indigenous Thinkers and Modern Debate on African Philosophy*. Leiden: Brill.

Poka, L. (Burgess, H. F.). (2000). "Processes of Decolonization." In M. Battiste (Ed.), *Reclaiming Indigenous Values and Vision*. Vancouver: UBC Press.

Rodney, W. (2009).*How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Abuja, Lagos & Pretoria: Panaf Publishing.

Rothermund, D. (2006). *The Routledge Companion to Decolonization*. London: Routledge.

Von Bismarck, H. (2012). "Defining Decolonization."*The British Scholar*.

White, N. (2014). *Decolonization: The British Experience* since 1945 (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.

Wiredu, K. (1996). *Cultural Universals and Particulars: An African Perspective*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Wiredu, K. (1998). *Cultural universals and particulars: An African perspective*. Indiana University Press.